



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/777,726

02/13/2004

Seiji Mizuno

10517/214

8234

23838 7590 04/12/2007
KENYON & KENYON LLP
1500 K STREET N.W.
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

DOVE, TRACY MAE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1745

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
----------------------------------------	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

04/12/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/777,726	Applicant(s) MIZUNO, SEIJI	
	Examiner Tracy Dove	Art Unit 1745	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 IDSs</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDSs) submitted on 2/13/04, 6/24/04, 7/10/04, 7/21/05 and 2/26/07 have been considered by the examiner.

Claims Analysis

Claim 1 recites “a cross sectional area of a gas passage changes in a direction in which the gas passage groove extends, while each of an opening width of the gas passage groove and a depth of the gas passage groove remains substantially constant”. The term opening width is interpreted as the width of the groove when the separator is viewed from a top surface of the separator plate (see Figures 6A-6C of present specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Banhardt et al.,

Art Unit: 1745

Banhardt teaches a separator for use in a fuel cell. The separator has a gas passage groove/channel that is structured in such a way that a larger flow resistance prevails on the fluid inlet side than on the fluid outlet side (abstract). Figures 4 and 5 show the width of the bottom surface of the groove is changed while the opening width and depth of the groove remain substantially constant. Changing the bottom surface of the groove results in different inclination angles of the side surfaces of the groove. Thus the claims are anticipated.

*

Claims 1-3 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto, JP 10-172586.

Yamamoto teaches a fuel cell having high gas diffusion capability and high performance by arranging a water absorbing member for varying the cross section area of the flow path according to the water absorption amount in a part of a first flow path for supplying fuel gas and/or a second flow path for supplying oxidizing gas. The water absorbing member is applied to the bottom and/or side surface of the flow path (abstract). The gas flow rate changes within the gas passageway to increase the gas flow and to raise the gaseous diffusion nature to an electrode catalyst (0044). Thus the claims are anticipated.

*

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Debe et al., US 6,780,536.

Debe teaches a flow field plate or bipolar plate used for distribution of reactants to, and removal of products from, opposite sides of a catalyzed membrane in an electrochemical cell such as a fuel cell (4:47-53). Debe teaches it is believed that the partial pressures of fuel and

Art Unit: 1745

oxidants at the surface of the catalyst at any given point in an electrode of a fuel cell are directly related to the speed of the lateral flux of the gas in the diffuser/current collector (DCC), also known as the separator (5:61-6:9). The flow field channels may have any suitable cross-section, including rectangular and sloped-side cross-sections (6:55-57). The flow field plates may be made of metal (7:35-43). Figure 2 shows the geometry of a single zig-zag flow field wherein the apex half-angle, number and size of loops and the DCC permeabilities can be varied to optimize the tradeoff between pressure drop and uniformity and magnitude of the gas velocities (9:30-38). Debe teaches the angles between successive major segments of the serpentine channel may vary progressively (2:8-10). Figure 2 shows the apex angle θ can be varied (change the inclination of a side surface). Figure 2 shows the width of a bottom surface of the flow channel may vary.

Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)/103(a) as being anticipated by, and alternatively unpatentable over, Kosugi et al., JP 2001-043870.

Kosugi teaches a separator for a fuel cell that enhances the performance of the fuel cell by causing a reaction between a fuel gas and an oxidant gas to be efficiently performed over the whole reaction zone of a separator. A fluid passage for passing a fuel gas or an oxidant gas therethrough is provided in one surface 1a of a substrate 1 over the whole reaction zone thereof.

Art Unit: 1745

The passage is made up of a plurality of parallel and bottomed grooves 4. The cross section of the grooves 4, in the upstream of the fluid passage, is shaped into a trapezoid with the width S1 of an opening part 4a made smaller than the width S2 of a bottom part 4b and, in the downstream of the fluid passages, shaped into an inverted trapezoid with the width of the opening part 4a made larger than the width of the bottom part 4b. The grooves gradually change in cross section from the upstream to the downstream of the passage (abstract). See Figures 2 and 3. The depth of the groove is fixed and the slot can be continuously changed from upstream to downstream or can be gradually changed for every fixed die length in the middle of a fluid channel 2 (0010). The cross section configuration of the upstream side of the groove had a trapezoidal shape and the cross section configuration of the downstream side of the groove had an inverse trapezoidal shape (0008). The cross section configuration of groove 4 may be a circle (curved corners between bottom and side surfaces of the groove) or other configurations (0016).

Thus the claims are anticipated. The claims are alternatively unpatentable. Due to the poor translation of the Kosugi reference it is unclear if S1 and S3 are equal (opening width remains substantially constant). However, Figures 2 and 3 are cross section views of Figure 1. Figure 1 appears to indicate, or at least suggest, that S1 and S3 are substantially equal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 30, 2007



TRACY DOVE
PRIMARY EXAMINER